



## **Automation in Incident Handling**

Toolset, Document for students

September 2014







September 2014

## **About ENISA**

The European Union Agency for Network and Information Security (ENISA) is a centre of network and information security expertise for the EU, its member states, the private sector and Europe's citizens. ENISA works with these groups to develop advice and recommendations on good practice in information security. It assists EU member states in implementing relevant EU legislation and works to improve the resilience of Europe's critical information infrastructure and networks. ENISA seeks to enhance existing expertise in EU member states by supporting the development of cross-border communities committed to improving network and information security throughout the EU. More information about ENISA and its work can be found at <a href="https://www.enisa.europa.eu">www.enisa.europa.eu</a>.

## **Acknowledgements**

## **Contributors to this report**

We would like to thank all our ENISA colleagues who contributed with their input to this report and supervised its completion, especially Lauri Palkmets, Cosmin Ciobanu, Andreas Sfakianakis, Romain Bourgue, and Yonas Leguesse. We would also like to thank the team of Don Stikvoort and Michael Potter from S-CURE, The Netherlands, Mirosław Maj and Tomasz Chlebowski from ComCERT, Poland, and Mirko Wollenberg from PRESECURE Consulting, Germany, who produced the second version of this documents as consultants.

## **Agreements or Acknowledgements**

ENISA wants to thank all institutions and persons who contributed to this document. A special 'Thank You' goes to the following contributors: Anna Felkner, Tomasz Grudzicki, Przemysław Jaroszewski, Piotr Kijewski, Mirosław Maj, Marcin Mielniczek, Elżbieta Nowicka, Cezary Rzewuski, Krzysztof Silicki, Rafał Tarłowski from NASK/CERT Polska, who produced the first version of this document as consultants and the countless people who reviewed this document.

#### Contact

For contacting the authors please use <a href="mailto:CERT-Relations@enisa.europa.eu">CERT-Relations@enisa.europa.eu</a>

For media enquires about this paper, please use press@enisa.europa.eu.

# **Automation in Incident Handling** *Toolset, Document for students*



September 2014

## **Legal notice**

Notice must be taken that this publication represents the views and interpretations of the authors and editors, unless stated otherwise. This publication should not be construed to be a legal action of ENISA or the ENISA bodies unless adopted pursuant to the Regulation (EU) No 526/2013. This publication does not necessarily represent state-of the-art and ENISA may update it from time to time.

Third-party sources are quoted as appropriate. ENISA is not responsible for the content of the external sources including external websites referenced in this publication.

This publication is intended for information purposes only. It must be accessible free of charge. Neither ENISA nor any person acting on its behalf is responsible for the use that might be made of the information contained in this publication.

## **Copyright Notice**

© European Union Agency for Network and Information Security (ENISA), 2013

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

## **Automation in Incident Handling**



Toolset, Document for students

September 2014

## **Table of Contents**

1	What Will You Learn	1
2	Ecercise Task	2
2.1	Task 1 Locating unique interesting hosts	2
2.2	Task 2 Geolocation	2
2.3	Task 3 Looking further	2



September 2014

#### 1 What Will You Learn

Sometimes information about an incident, particularly about a wide-spread incident, is received in bulk – containing not just data about your networks but from all networks. This can be the case when a site under a DDoS attack shares its logs without time to sort and separate them for individual ISPs, looks for contacts, etc. Having one-to-many distribution channels at hand, such as mailing lists, they can efficiently publish information for everyone to analyse.

On the other hand, sometimes you have plenty of information collected from your own sources which you wish to share with others, distributing it on a need-to-know basis. An example can be logs from IPS systems, early warning systems, etc. While you observe attacks from all around the world, you may have a few interested parties who want to receive and handle reports about their networks. In such cases you need to sort the information out.

Can you think of other situations where automation and scripting may help you?

This exercise will let you practice your skills in the fast and automated or semi-automated analysing of logs and guide you through some tools than can be useful in these tasks.

You can find a lot of lightweight useful tools in the standard Linux shell. Some of the most commonly used are:

- cat concatenate files and print on the standard output
- head output the first part of files
- tail output the last part of files
- grep, egrep print lines matching a pattern
- sort sort lines of text files
- cut remove sections from each line of files
- awk pattern scanning and processing language
- netcat reads and writes data across network connections

Their documentation is available by typing: man command\_name at the command prompt.

For more advanced processing you can use powerful programming languages like python and perl with lots of ready text-manipulation routines.

The text file 24022007.txt contains netflow logs from a DDoS attack. Although this is a UDP flood to various ports and the source hosts are likely spoofed, you may decide to verify whether this traffic was observed at origin and you happen to have good contacts with CERT teams in Poland and Turkey.



September 2014

The log file format is as follows (columns separated with whitespaces):

Column	Description
Column	Description
1	Date
2	Time
3	Duration
4	Protocol
5	Source IP address:port
6	"->"
7	Destination IP address:port
8	Number of packets transmitted
9	Number of bytes transmitted
10	Number of aggregated flows

Use the tools to dig some useful information out of this bulk data.

## 2 Ecercise Task

## 2.1 Task 1 Locating unique interesting hosts

Generate a list of unique attacking IP addresses. How many distinct source hosts were taking part in the attack? (Assume that attacking packets = UDP packets.)

**Hint:** sort offers an option to remove repeated lines from output. You can count lines, characters, etc, in a text file with word count .

## 2.2 Task 2 Geolocation

Team Cymru (http://www.team-cymru.com/) offers an IP to ASN mapping service. Use this service to find attacking IP addresses assigned to Poland and Turkey.

A detailed description of the service is available at http://www.team-cymru.org/Services/ip-to-asn.html and additional instructions can be obtained with a command:

\$ whois -h whois.cymru.com help

Make sure you read the instructions and policies published on the webpage.

Hints: Use bulk query over whois protocol. You will need to enable the display of the country codes in the output.

## 2.3 Task 3 Looking further

While the attack consists of UDP packets to (apparently) random high ports, there are some other flows that stand out. Can you find them?



## **ENISA**

European Union Agency for Network and Information Security Science and Technology Park of Crete (ITE) Vassilika Vouton, 700 13, Heraklion, Greece

## **Athens Office**

1 Vass. Sofias & Meg. Alexandrou Marousi 151 24, Athens, Greece



PO Box 1309, 710 01 Heraklion, Greece Tel: +30 28 14 40 9710 info@enisa.europa.eu www.enisa.europa.eu