

CORRIGENDUM

“Good practice for CERTs in addressing NIS aspects of cybercrime”

ENISA P/02/11/TCD

In the Tender specification document and more specifically in:

*Part 3: Administrative Details: Article 4.1 Quality of the offer on page 21, a typographical error has been found in the ‘Qualitative award criteria’ table. There is an error with the quality points assigned to the fourth Quality sub-criteria referring to ‘Methodology’. Instead of **10** points being assigned to ‘Methodology’ the figure should be **15** points.*

Please find below the referred to table with the correct assignation of points in order to add up to a total of 100 points.

No	Qualitative award criteria		Weighting (max. points)
1.	Technical compliance	Compliance with the technical descriptions (part 2 of this document)	30/100
2.	Quality and accuracy of content and structure	Quality of the proposal and accuracy of the description to provide the requested services	25/100
3.	Project Team	Composition of project team, direct involvement of senior staff, and distributions of tasks amongst experts; proposed workflows and quality review cycles	30/100
4.	Methodology	Selected methodology and project management	15/100
Total Qualitative Points (QP)			100