

Cybersecurity Act & Standardization

Presented by: Luis Jorge Romero For: ENISA-ESOs event,

Brussels

21.01.2019



February 2018: some requests put forward

Standards for Certification: clarify concepts and definitions

w the fundamental relationship between standards and certification to be explicitly described

Use the New Legislative Framework as a toolbox

∀ the text to include the clear sequence of *requirements – standards – certification*.

Rethink levels of security under a "risk management" approach; redefine objectives

∅ a much higher level of objectives that avoid technical issues, left to standards to address

Ensure applicability and consistency with existing regimes

Specify governance and processes



January 2019: some good progress...

- Assurance levels, now commensurate with the level of risk; assurance levels basic, substantial and high maintained but redefined
- ♥ Possibility to make a scheme mandatory after assessment; priority on sectors defined in annex II of NIS Directive; evaluation whether cybersecurity essential requirements for access to the internal market are necessary
- ♥ ESOs part of ENISA Advisory Group



... however

- ♥ ESOs should be part of Stakeholder Cybersecurity Certification Group



ETSI

- ♥ Define a good coordination scheme, ENISA-ESOs
- ♥ Define the role of standardization, i.e. how far should we standardize
- Ensure standardization in support of certification schemes
- ♥ Ensure Europe's relevance in the Cybersecurity global space