

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

Current training & educational opportunities: An academic approach & what the employers need

Marco CREMONINI

Computer Science Department

University of Milan, Italy

WORKSHOP CTI – EU | Bonding EU Cyber Threat Intelligence 30-31 October, Link Campus University, Rome, Italy

Who I am

- Assistant Professor
- Teaching
 - Risk Analysis and Management (Laurea Magistrale in Information Security)
 - (previous) Network Security, OS Security
- Research
 - Risk and Cybersecurity (vulns, markets, education)
 - Privacy/Data Protection
 - Complex Network Analysis (Social, Epidemics, Controllability)
- Other
 - Industrial collaborations, EU Projects, Mentoring, Op-Ed

Outline

- Gloom and doom analysis
- A few small suggestions
- Take home message

Gloom and doom

CTI – It's complex

forming, the

- Many had given/will give many different definitions of CTI
- A lot of *promotion* ("hype cycle" is branded)



CTI – It's generic

- Many companies are now offering CTI services
- Generically data-oriented (OSINT, third-party info, ad-hoc collection)
- Generically threat-oriented (Try give a definition of threat)
- Generically risk-oriented (Try give a definition of risk)
- Generically cybercrime-oriented (but not always)
- Generically industry-agnostic (leaning towards the finance sector)

CTI – It's naïve

- Thread modelling confused (web defacement a CTI issue? Where is espionage? Where are industrial sector analyses?)
- **Risk concepts messed up** (where are probability and loss estimates? CVSS is NOT a risk metric for vulns (by design))
- Data analysis and analytics missing (Did you employed data scientists?)
- CTI effectiveness not measured (You know what you can measure)
- Goals undefined (CTI is hopefully useful for something)
- Customers mostly unfamiliar (as usual)
- Skills, competences undefined (what is a CTI expert? Many apparently out there)

CTI – Training for what?

- Traditional shortage of security skilled workforce (still debated)
- Traditional fight Practical Vs. Theoretic education (please, refrain from taking side, believe me, it is not useful)
- Traditional lack of measurement (Which effectiveness of education/experience? Learning to learn or learning to do?)
- Traditional difficulty (if not hostility) for interdisciplinary training (vertical silos for knowledge domains is the norm)
- Traditional solutionist approach for education (education needs time to be tuned and time to produce first results, which are always stochastic)

A few small suggestions

Education for CTI – Principles

- Education is a process not a product (like for security and millions of other things, as you already know)
- Education IS NOT GOING TO SOLVE YOUR BUSINESS PROBLEMS, LACK OF MEASUREMENTS, DISORGANIZATION, AND POOR PRODUCT FEATURES
- Garbage In Garbage Out holds for education too
- Education and experience together could be EXTREMELY effective

CTI – Education goals

- Academic education (Master level, broad spectrum, mostly learn to learn)
- Professional education (specific training, mostly learn to do)
- Address the complexity (web defacement is not CTI, domain name scam is not CTI, Nessus scan is not CTI, CVSS is not CTI)
- Define the goals of CTI, explicitly
- Then define the goals of CTI education, explicitly
- It's something brand new in Infosecurity, but already known outside of it

CTI – Key competences (top down)

- CTI by nature crosses multiple technical/scientific disciplines:
 - **Computer science** (everywhere)
 - Information security (not so common, increasing)
 - Data science (also not so common, increasing)
 - gathering (DBMS SQL/noSQL, web scraping, data fusion)
 - data mining, machine learning, statistics, text mining
 - visualization / HMI
 - Network Science (definitely not common, somehow increasing)
 - social networks, epidemics, diffusion
 - Cybercrime (not even a technical discipline, mostly anecdotic)
 - Economics/Business/Legal (everywhere but difficult to integrate)
 - Risk Analysis and Mng. (totally ignored in Infosec, shame on you!)
 - Forensics (established as a discipline)
 - .

Take home message

Education for CTI – What to do?

- Take it very seriously if you think that CTI will become strategic (I believe so, although the name is naïve)
- Nobody now has a clear and comprehensive picture in mind (if someone pretend to have it, fire him/her)
- It should be developed, piece by piece
- Beware the bullshit (a lot to come)
- Join the efforts/Share the interests (professionals, academics, companies, public agencies)