



# **Take the bull by the horns**

## **BSI recommendations for ICS testing**

Jens Wiesner

Recommendations for Harmonized ICS Testing  
Capability in the EU / Tallinn 01.10.2013

# Agenda

---

- ❑ Needs and necessities
- ❑ Efforts of the BSI
- ❑ Requirements to a testbed
- ❑ Summary

# needs and necessities

---

- ❑ “End the uncertainty”
- ❑ High demand for certification of devices
  - ❑ By asset owners / end users
  - ❑ And vendors
- ❑ Make ICS more secure

# Efforts of the BSI

- ❑ Awareness
  - ❑ Industrial associations
  - ❑ End users / Asset owners
  - ❑ Vendors
- ❑ Publications
- ❑ Spot tests of devices
  - ❑ Determine flaws and errors
  - ❑ get in contact with vendors
  - ❑ Establish a trusted relationship
  - ❑ Help vendors to set up their own testing capabilities
- ❑ Public-Private-Partnership
  - “Alliance for Cybersecurity”

# Requirements to a testbed

---

- ☐ Awareness
- ☐ Education
- ☐ Research
- ☐ ICS testing
- ☐ Device testing

# Awareness

---

- ☐ Campaigns
- ☐ Media
- ☐ Fairs
- ☐ Live-Demos
- ☐ Different approaches in each country

→ European testbed not suitable

# Education

---

- ❑ National (non-governmental) Efforts
  - ❑ Commercial Providers
  - ❑ ICS-Skilltraining
    - ❑ Hacking
    - ❑ Red/Blue-team Training
- ❑ Necessary (European) approved Skill-Certificate
  - centralized facility not needed

# Research / Knowledge coordination

---

- ❑ Many local uncoordinated efforts
- ❑ Necessary to coordinate throughout Europe
- ❑ Distribution of Classified Knowledge

→ Establish a platform for coordination purposes

# ICS testing

---

- ❑ Complex Scenarios
- ❑ Unique Scenarios
- ❑ Unsecure if executed “compliance-only”

→ not feasible for large scale systems in a testbed

# Device testing

- ❑ Penetration testing, e.g. robustness, common protocols (http, ftp, ssh, etc.), proprietary protocols (e.g. debugging service), industrial protocols (modbus, profibus, etc.), local interfaces (USB, CF cards, ...)
- ❑ Firmware Analysis
- ❑ More sophisticated attacks such as hardware based (JTAG/SPI)

→ Recommend a public guide for test beds and vendors to test and certify single devices to a given standard at a single time

# Arguments against an EU-wide testbed

- ❑ Security is not only achieved by secure components
  - ❑ Secure integration engine builders
  - ❑ Secure operation by asset owners  
[this is why IEC 62443 is a good approach]
- ❑ “Compliance is not Security”!
- ❑ Acceptance of a EU certification on the international market?
- ❑ Unique features in comparison to NERC CIP and others?

# Summary

---

## Need

- ☐ Make ICS more secure
- ☐ Help Users, Asset owners and Vendors

## Necessity

- ☐ Build common basis for distribution of
  - ☐ Knowledge
  - ☐ Guidelines
  - ☐ Procedures

Do we need a european testbed?

- ☐ Depends on the organization and design
- ☐ At the moment the necessity is not given

# Contact

---

Federal Office for Information Security  
(BSI)

Jens Wiesner

53175 Bonn

Tel: +49 (0)22899-9582-6022

Fax: +49 (0)22899-10-9582-6022

[ics-sec@bsi.bund.de](mailto:ics-sec@bsi.bund.de)

[www.bsi.bund.de](http://www.bsi.bund.de)

[www.bsi-fuer-buerger.de](http://www.bsi-fuer-buerger.de)