Minutes
of the 17th ENISA Management Board Meeting
18 March 2010 – 9h00-16h30
ENISA Branch Office, Athens, Greece

Participants:

Member States Representatives: Reinhard POSCH (Chairman, AT), Slavcho MANOLOV (Alternate BG), Antonis ANTONIADIES (Member, CY), Pavel TYKAL (Member, CZ), Flemming FABER (Member, DK), Jaak TEPANDI (Alternate, EE), Mari HERRANEN (Member, FI), Patrick PAILLOUX (Member, FR), Roland HARTMANN (Alternate, DE), Constantine STEPHANIDIS (Member, EL), Ferenc SUBA (Vice Chair, HU), Paul CONWAY (Alternate, IE), Rita FORSI, (Member, IT), François THILL (LU), Edgar R. DE LANGE (Member, NL), Krzysztof SILICKI (Member, PL), Manuel Filipe PEDROSA DE BARROS (Alternate, PT), Mireille RADOI (Member, RO), Andreea STOICIU (Alternate, RO), Peter BIRO (Member, SK), Gorazd BOZIC (Member, SI), Juan LLORENS (Alternate, ES), Lena CARLSSON (Member, SE)

Commission Representatives: Francisco GARCIA MORAN (Member), Gregory PAULGER (Member), Andrea SERVIDA (Alternate)

Stakeholders’ Representatives: Niko SCHLAMBERGER (Alternate, Academia Representative)

ENISA: Udo HELMBRECHT (Executive Director), Jeremy BEALE (Secretariat to the Board), Ronald DE BRUIN, Andreas MITRAKAS, Steve PURSER, Silvia PORTESI (Secretariat to the Board)

Other Participants: Rogier HOLLA (DG INFSO)

Apologies: Michael HANGE (Member, DE), Maris ANDZANS (Alternate LV), Pedro Manuel Barbosa Veiga (PT), Geoff SMITH (Member, UK), Jørn RINGLUND (Member, NO), Eivind JAHREN (Alternate NO), Kai RANNENBERG, Academia Representative

1. Opening of the meeting and announcements of the Chair
The Chair opened the 17th Management Board (MB) meeting and welcomed the participants. He announced the following changes to the composition of the MB:

- Mr. Ugis Sarma (Member, LV)
- Mr. Maris Andzans (Alternate, LV)
- Ms. Mirelle Radoi (Member, RO)
- Ms. Andreea Stoiciu (Alternate, RO)
- Mr. Luc Hindryckx (Member, BE)
- Mr. Charles Cuveliez (Alternate, BE)
- Mr. Juan Llorens (Alternate, ES)

The Chair reconfirmed the composition of the Italian Representation: Ms. Forsi (Member) and Mr. Rizzi (Alternate).

The Chair explained that two mailing lists existed for the MB: one for all MB Members and relevant ENISA personnel, and one for the voting MB members-only to be used for closed-session matters.

2. Adoption of the Agenda

The agenda was adopted.

3. Minutes of the 16th MB Meeting in Heraklion, 15-16 October 2009

The Chair noted that the meeting minutes from the previous MB Meeting (16th MB Meeting) had been adopted by written procedure.

4. Report by Executive Director on the Activities of the Agency

The Executive Director (ED) reported on ENISA activities and key achievements since the 16th MB Meeting. He highlighted ongoing activities and priorities in regard to the 2010 Work Programme (WP) and gave an update on administrative matters, including the reorganisation and evolution of the Agency, budget and human resources. He also updated the MB on audit activities. (See attached Report of the Executive Director presentation.)

After expressing his appreciation for the ED report, Mr. Pailloux noted that ENISA's international image has been improved and that some ENISA deliverables, such as those on Cloud Computing, have been well received in France as well as in other countries outside Europe.

Mr. Stephanidis expressed his appreciation for the ED's report and asked for clarification on how the findings from the Survey on Measuring Uptake of ENISA Deliverables in the Member States, conducted by Deloitte Belgium on behalf of ENISA in 2009 and mentioned in the ED's report, were expected to be used by ENISA.

Ms. Herranen thanked the ED for the report and enquired whether major changes in the current Draft WP2011 were expected.

Ms. Carlsson welcomed the more project-oriented approach.
Mr. Pedrosa De Barros welcomed the report and thanked the Agency for the support for the Workshop on Risk Analysis and innovation that took place in Portugal in January.

The Chair confirmed that the work of the Agency was finding increasing recognition in the world.

5. **Update by the COM**

Mr. Paulger gave the Board an update on ENISA-related policy development since the 16th MB Meeting. He underlined that NIS policy is being viewed as part of the broader Digital Agenda portfolio that is being developed under the responsibility of Commissioner Neelie Kroes.

Mr. Paulger mentioned some possible requirements for a modernised Agency, such as increased flexibility, adaptability and capability to focus; better positioning of the Agency in the European Union’s policy and regulatory process; acting as an interface with the fight against cybercrime; a strengthened governance structure; streamlining of procedures; and a gradual increase of the financial and human resources to meet the objectives. An impact assessment of different options for a reformed Agency is under preparation, which will include a cost-benefit analysis. The main steps of the related legislative procedures and expected timeline of the legislative process were also presented.

Mr. Paulger provided clarifications on expected Commission initiatives and proposals.

6. **NIS Challenges 2010-2015**

The ED delivered a presentation on NIS Challenges as an introduction to the WP2011 development.

7. **Provisional Draft Work Programme 2011**

A presentation on the provisional Draft WP2011 by ENISA was delivered. (See attached WP2011 presentation.)

The first part consisted of an introduction by the ED and the Budget evolution 2007-2011. The second part was delivered by Mr. Purser and focused on the Thematic Programmes (TPs). The third part was delivered by Mr. Beale and focused on stakeholder engagement.

In his introduction, the ED mentioned *inter alia* that the current version of the Draft WP2011, submitted to the Board on the 12/03/2010, was a slightly revised version of that submitted on the 4/03/2010, in that some editorial changes had been implemented. He also informed the MB of an EU budget reduction request from the Commission which would have an as-yet-to-be-determined impact on the ENISA work programme that had been communicated to ENISA the day before to the meeting.

Before presenting the five TPs in the provisional Draft WP2011, Mr. Purser highlighted that the current document was still a draft and emphasised that input and continuous dialogue with MB members was extremely important for the WP2011 development.

Mr. Purser presented the following five TPs:

1) TP1 – Improving European Critical Information Infrastructure Protection
2) TP2 – Identity, Privacy & Trust
3) TP3 – Applied Security
4) TP4 – Secure Services
5) TP5 – Recognising & Responding to Market Forces
Mr. Beale highlighted how support for the MB and PSG would be provided to ensure increased value-added, strategic visibility and control for MS over the WP process through:

- the formation of smaller, more focused sub-groups;
- regular and forward-looking “newsletters” on sub-group discussions and upcoming ENISA activities;
- the evolution of National Contact Officers (NCOs) in MS regulatory and policy institutions that could consider ENISA projects at their start and end.

In response, Ms. Herranen expressed support for CERT work (in TP3). With reference to TP5, she stated that it was important to avoid duplication of work and desirable to reduce the number of Thematic Programs.

Mr. Stephanidis suggested that lessons be learnt from the Survey on Measuring Uptake of ENISA Deliverables in the Member States, mentioned in the ED report, should be taken into account when developing the WP2011. He also observed that no budget was allocated to Risk Management activities and asked for some clarification as regard the relationship between the Stakeholder Relations Unit (SRU) and the Strategy and Public Affairs Department (SPAD). Mr. Stephanidis noted the importance of the Seat Agreement between ENISA and the Hellenic Authorities in providing a resource base for the Agency’s work and asked why relations with the Hellenic Authorities were not explicitly addressed in the Work Programme.

With reference to the CIIP part of the Draft WP2011, and in particular to the proposed work related to Art. 13 of the eCommunication Framework Directive, Mr. Suba highlighted that it would be welcome if ENISA could propose a data format for the Member States. With reference to work related to Art. 4 of the ePrivacy Directive, Mr. Suba suggested that ENISA works in close cooperation with Data Protection Authorities and the National Regularity Authorities (NRAs) at national and European level. Regarding the proposed formation of networks of National Contact/Communication Officers (NCOs) that was to be discussed later, Mr. Suba highlighted the need to have a Single Point of Contact (SPOC) in Member States.

Mr. Thill stated that it is important for ENISA to evaluate the work already done in the field of Awareness Raising in countries and to leverage the work already carried out in Member States.

Mr. De Lange emphasised the importance of setting priorities for ENISA activities and suggested as a first criterion to look at the legal obligations (e.g. Art. 13 of the eCommunication Framework Directive and Art. 4 of the ePrivacy Directive). With reference to TP2, he highlighted how it related to e-government programmes and the Framework Programme 7 (FP7); and he pointed out that e-ID and authentication are related: ENISA should aim at positioning itself strongly in relation to these topics.

Mr. Pedrosa De Barros highlighted the importance in the European Union of focusing on the public perspective of information security as well as on the market, the private sector and an innovation perspective. With reference to TP1, he suggested that the scope of CIIP and of telecommunication was different. In addition, he proposed that key performance indicators (KPIs) in TP1 refer to all Member States (MS), and not be limited to those MS implementing measures under Art. 13. Moreover, he pointed out that in the current Draft WP there is no reference to standardisation (to the work, for instance, of ETSI and ITU).

The Chair suggested that some questions be answered by the ED at that point in the meeting, others be answered at a later stage in the WP presentation, and others could be extended to the Commission.

The ED explained the correlation between the current one-year framework of the TP proposals in the Draft WP2011 (which had to be limited by the still-open question of the renewal of ENISA’s mandate) and the multi-annual framework that the WP2010 had existed within. In addition, he prompted MB members to note that current WP topics were indirectly ascribed to historic budget lines.
The ED confirmed that the proposal is to discontinue the Risk Assessment MTP (Multi-Thematic Programme) as a distinct activity in place of deploying risk assessment within other TPs. He noted that outstanding issues of the Seat Agreement were expected to be finalized within 2010. This was why Hellenic Authorities issues were not explicitly a part of the WP 1011. The ED clarified that while SPAD is dealing with general public communications, SRU is more focused on dealing with the MB, Permanent Stakeholder Group (PSG) and other specific stakeholder groups.

Regarding the work on Art. 4 and Art. 13 mentioned above, the ED recognised the importance of involving both the public and private sectors.

Regarding the proposed re-shaping of the NLO Network, the ED welcomed input from MS and pointed out that MB members were ENISA’s prime single points of contact (SPOCs) in MS; this relationship would be reinforced through improved communications with them.

Regarding Awareness Raising topics, the ED highlighted the importance of working in close cooperation with the MS.

The MB agreed to continue the discussion on WP2011 development in the afternoon and to postpone to the afternoon the discussion on the Statement of Estimates 2011.

The MB was informed that during the lunch break a professional photographer will take some pictures and that a demonstration by ENISA on the Awareness Raising portal and other ENISA Awareness Raising activities would take place too.

8. **Closed session**

9. **Provisional Draft Work Programme 2011 (continuation of the discussion from the morning session) and Statement of Estimates 2011**

The ED informed the MB that the Draft WP2011, distributed to the MB prior to the meeting, will be forwarded in confidence to the Permanent Stakeholder Group (PSG) for the next PSG Meeting on the 25/03/2010.

The ED proposed holding a Joint PSG-MB workshop at the ENISA Branch Office in Athens at a suitable date to be determined in order to discuss WP prioritization. He thanked the Greek Authorities for providing ENISA with the ENISA Branch Office in Athens.

The provisional Statement of Estimates (budget) for the budgetary year 2011, previously presented together with the Draft WP2011, was discussed.

Following requests from some MB Representatives, some clarification regarding budget allocations on various ENISA activities was provided.

The Chair underlined that the budget 2011 is a draft version; it would be processed according to the WP2011 development. He asked the MB members to adopt it in draft version.

The Board adopted the provisional Statement of Estimates 2011 as submitted to the MB prior to the meeting, with a note that a reduction in the final budget would take place due to the reduction in the EU Budget. The Chair informed the meeting that a short written procedure might need to be launched for the adoption of a revised draft budget implementing these changes following the recent EU budget reduction mentioned above.

The Chair clarified that the MB would take the final decision on the WP2011, including budget, in October 2010.

10. **Staff Policy Plan 2011-2013 and Establishment Plan 2011**
The Staff Policy Plan 2011-2013 and the Establishment Plan 2011 were presented. The Board approved them as presented.


Mr. De Bruin briefly presented the General Report 2009 (GR09) and, as requested by the Chair, he provided some clarifications about dissemination.

The Board adopted unanimously the General Report on the Agency's activities as submitted.

12. Items for information

The Chair announced the written procedures that had been concluded successfully since the 16th MB meeting, in particular:

- Written procedure Approval Revised BGT2 2009
- Written procedure Approval WP2010-BGT2010-Establishment Plan
- Written procedure Approval IAS Charter 30 Oct 2009
- Written procedure Approval Meeting Minutes of the 16th ENISA Management Board Meeting, Heraklion, 15-16 Oct 2009
- Written procedure Approval Appointment of an Accounting Officer
- Written procedure Approval IAS Strategic Audit Plan 2010-2012.

13. Dates and venues of the future 2010 meetings

After a brief discussion, the Board agreed that the next MB meeting (18th MB Meeting) will take place on Thursday, 14th October 2010 (possibly preceded by a dinner the day before) at the ENISA Branch Office, Athens.

14. Any Other Business

The Chair briefly reported on the Meeting of the Chairs of the Management Boards of the European Agencies that took place on the 2nd March 2010 in Amsterdam.

Mr. Pedrosa De Barros gave some updates on the work of the OECD Working Party on Information Security and Privacy (WPISP) and communicated his availability to deliver a presentation on a study on security aspects and ISP conducted in Portugal.

Mr. Stephanidis noted the report by a UK House of Lords enquiry into large scale cyber attacks and criticised its negative comments on the issue of ENISA's location as well as a Press Release issued by ENISA that day that reiterated these comments in its summary of the report's findings. The Chair reminded the MB that changes in the MB Representation should be promptly communicated to the Secretariat via their Permanent Representations in Brussels.

The Chair invited MB Representatives to state if they objected to having the pictures taken during the lunch break published on the ENISA website and in other publications. No objection was made.

Mr. Purser emphasised the importance of MB input for the WP2011 development. He also informed the MB about the 3rd Summer School on Network and Information Security (NIS'10),
jointly organised by ENISA and FORTH, taking place in the Heraklion area, Crete, Greece, in September 2010.
The Chair thanked MB members for their fruitful participation. He thanked ENISA for its preparation of the MB Meeting and for the demonstration on Awareness Raising Portal during the lunch break.

15. Close of the Meeting

The Chair closed the meeting.

---

For the Management Board
Reinhard Posch
Chairman